The National Hispanic Media Coalition (NHMC) has released a series of academic studies and a national poll detailing the role of the media in breeding hate and shaping public opinion about Latinos and other groups. Key findings suggest that:

**HATE SPEECH IS PERVERSIVE IN U.S. MEDIA.**

Hate speech on commercial talk radio has helped cultivate a social network around the hosts and their guests. This social network spreads content across affiliated social media web sites. The result is an echo-chamber of voices, both online and off, that promotes hatred toward people of color, people of certain religions and LGBT people. Media personalities and political figures representing the Republican Party and/or affiliated with the Tea Party dominate the social network, leaving little room for alternate points of view. Media powerhouses such as Premiere Radio Networks, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Clear Channel Communications, and Fox News are key components of this social network.

**BIG MEDIA CORPORATIONS PERPETUATE HATE THROUGH SOCIAL NETWORKS.**
HATE IS HARMFUL TO OUR HEALTH.³

Listeners subjected to hate speech experienced a spike in the production of a hormone that could, over time, have a significant negative impact on listeners’ health, potentially influencing the onset of pathophysiological processes or diseases such as cancer or chronic inflammatory diseases. It seems this effect occurs regardless of listeners’ race, ethnicity, nativity, or ideological alignment with the speaker.

THE MEDIA IS HUGELY INFLUENTIAL IN SHAPING OPINIONS ABOUT LATINOS AND OTHERS.⁴

A poll of non-Latino Americans found that they still rely heavily on TV news for their information: 66% watch major network and cable newscasts for their information and only 30% trust Facebook, Twitter, and other social networks or online-only news sources. News and entertainment media strongly influence non-Latinos’ perceptions about Latinos and immigrants. Media portrayals of Latinos and immigrants can diminish or exacerbate stereotypically negative opinions about them.
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<table>
<thead>
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<tbody>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
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- People exposed to negative entertainment or news narratives about Latinos and/or immigrants hold the most unfavorable and hostile views about both groups.
- Negative portrayals of Latinos and immigrants are pervasive in news and entertainment media. Consequently, non-Latinos commonly believe that many media-promoted negative stereotypes about these groups are true.
- Conservative talk radio and Fox News audiences are less likely to be personally familiar with Latinos yet more likely to hold anti-immigrant and anti-Latino views. Without direct experience with Latinos, media takes on a larger role in establishing these opinions and attitudes.
- Even those most disposed to positive opinions about Latinos have less favorable opinions when exposed to negative entertainment or news narratives.
- There is a common misperception that Latinos and undocumented immigrants are one in the same, and 17% of survey respondents actually believe that the majority of U.S. Latinos are undocumented.
- In discussing those in this country without documentation, the term “illegal aliens” elicits much more negatives feelings than the term “undocumented immigrants.”
- After viewing just one minute of media content, people change the way they view Latinos. For example, when asked about Latinos’ intelligence, those who consumed negative news and entertainment pieces were much more likely to rate Latinos as unintelligent, while those who consumed positive pieces were much more likely to rate Latinos as intelligent. This is only one example of many from the poll that demonstrate that media content influences peoples’ opinions about Latinos.

BELIEF IN STEREOTYPES ABOUT LATINOS COMPARING ADJECTIVE PAIRS – INTELLIGENCE
BACKGROUND

NHMC was founded in 1986 to increase positive portrayals of Latinos in media, based on a fundamental understanding that the media has the power to shape opinions of and behavior towards Latinos and other demographic groups. This report summarizes key findings from extensive academic research and polling that scientifically confirms NHMC’s premise. Today NHMC continues to push for more positive portrayals of Latinos in media, and it also fights hate speech in media.

In 1993 the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (“NTIA”), the agency that serves as the President’s principal adviser on telecommunications and information policy, released “The Role of Telecommunications in Hate Crimes.” The NTIA defined hate speech as “words and images that manifest evidence of prejudice based on race, religion, sexual orientation, or ethnicity.” It identified two types of hate speech: (1) “speech that ‘advocates’ or ‘encourages’ violent acts or crimes of hate,” and (2) “speech that creates a climate of hate or prejudice, which may in turn foster the commission of hate crimes.”

In 2006, following the historic immigration reform marches around the nation, NHMC observed a dramatic increase in anti-Latino hate speech in media. At the same time, FBI statistics revealed a measurable spike in anti-Latino hate crimes.

NHMC initiated a three-pronged strategy to counteract this anti-Latino, anti-immigrant rhetoric: 1) requesting government action to study the issue; 2) collecting and analyzing empirical data; and 3) establishing public education campaigns.

In 2009 NHMC filed a Petition for Inquiry with the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”), asking that the agency study the extent and nature of hate speech in media, examine the effects, including the relationship between hate speech in media and hate crimes, and explore options for counteracting or reducing the negative effects. NHMC also requested that the NTIA update its 1993 report. Nearly four years have passed since NHMC’s initial requests and neither agency has acted.

In the meantime, NHMC has commissioned three pilot studies from the UCLA Chicano Studies Research Center and a national poll from Latino Decisions. The first study, Quantifying Hate Speech on Commercial Talk Radio, developed a sound, replicable methodology for qualitative content analysis of hate speech in commercial broadcasting. It also revealed a significant incidence of hate speech by way of: (1) targeted statements; (2) unsubstantiated claims; (3) divisive language; and (4) indexical terms related to political nativism. The findings of the two other studies, Social Networks for Hate Speech and Using Biological Markers to Measure Stress in Listeners of Commercial Talk Radio, and the poll, The Impact of Media Stereotypes on Opinions and Attitudes Towards Latinos, are described above.

Finally, NHMC began participating in and leading public campaigns to educate advertisers about the hateful nature of many of the media programs on which they advertise.

- In 2009, NHMC helped lead the effort to remove the infamous Lou Dobbs from CNN.
- During NHMC’s campaign to remove John Kobylt and Ken Chiampou from KFI-AM for their repeated attacks on Latinos, Cuéntame collected over 16,000 supporting signatures, NHMC convinced over 40 advertisers to drop the duo, and NHMC and its allies successfully sought the pair’s removal from a Los Angeles television segment.
- This year NHMC and GLAAD forced TV show “José Luis Sin Censura” from the air by currying intense public outcry over the show’s hateful violence and derogatory slurs.

And this is just the tip of the iceberg. The tide is turning against hate purveyors. Over 100 advertisers abandoned Rush Limbaugh after his attacks against women. Public advocacy targeting advertisers is making a difference!
MOVING FORWARD

The research presented in this executive summary underscores the need for accuracy and fairness in our media – a monumental task considering its current state. NHMC will continue its programs to increase positive portrayals of Latinos in media7, and will continue to stand against hate speech in media. Based on efforts to date, NHMC knows that the key to stomping out hate speech is advertiser education. No advertiser wants to affiliate itself with hateful rhetoric, however, advertisers currently place ads with little information on the content of the shows they are sponsoring. As NHMC mobilizes consumers to fight hate speech in media, those consumers are encouraging advertisers to stop supporting shows that traffic hate. NHMC will continue to discourage hate speech in media, and will offer rapid response support for communities to respond to media hate as appropriate and feasible. If you see or hear something hateful, contact NHMC so that it can help you hold your media accountable!

NOTES

7. For a description of these programs please visit NHMC’s web-site at www.nhmc.org.
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